

-RESEARCH ARTICLE-

## ACCOUNTING FOR AIR POLLUTION FROM MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY IN IRAQ

**Ass. Lec. Mohannad Abdel Hussein**

Middle Technical University-  
Anbar Technical Institute, Iraq  
Email: [mohanadabeed@mtu.edu.iq](mailto:mohanadabeed@mtu.edu.iq)

**Ass. Lec. Taleb Hmad Awad**

Middle Technical University-  
Anbar Technical Institute, Iraq  
Email: [talebhmad@mtu.edu.iq](mailto:talebhmad@mtu.edu.iq)

### —Abstract—

The present study attempts to evaluate the economic costs involved in mitigating air pollution in urban areas when deterioration of environmental quality has been a critical factor affecting ecological results and enhancing global warming. This is despite the importance of the topic being poorly debated in recent scholarly literature. This study investigates the impact that investment in environmental capital and physical environmental expenses has on air pollution levels in Iraq. Data for the study are from World Development Indicators and financial reports for the manufacturing sector, running from 1991 to 2022. The approach employed to study the relationship between variables is the Dynamic Independent Distribution Lag. From the results, real capital and environmental costs have a negative relationship with air pollution in Iraq. These results may serve as inputs for policymakers in setting the level of regulations on mitigating air pollution through increased investment in current capital and environmental costs.

**Keywords:** Air and Population Accounting, CO2 Emissions, Capital Environmental Cost Accounting, Current Environmental Cost Accounting

Citation (APA): Hussein, M. A., Awad, T. H. (2024). Accounting For Air Pollution from Manufacturing Industry in Iraq. *International Journal of Economics and Finance Studies*, 16(01), 531-546. doi: 10.34109/ijefs.202416124

## INTRODUCTION

Iraq is amongst the world leaders regarding oil production and has experienced fast economic growth in the last couple of decades. As such, social measures of this economic growth clearly followed the same line of fast industrialization and urbanization which, while on one side accelerating the economic development at very high tempos, on another side they resulted in incredible environmental degradation. (Ahmed et al., 2020). Air pollution is a major large-scale problem among public health and quality of life environmental problems with substantial significance to the integrity of the natural environment. Major sources of contamination are particulate matter, fine particulate matter, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and volatile organic compounds that result from combustion of fossil fuel together with electricity used for lighting and industrial processes, plus many other chemical pollutants. When these substances interact with sunlight, they form smog, which can cause significant respiratory problems. (Anwar et al., 2020; Hormazábal, 2022). Additionally, the manufacturing industry, a key driver of Iraq's economy, significantly contributes to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This sector encompasses a wide range of industries, from steel production to electronics manufacturing, with varying levels of energy intensity and pollution across different industries.

Environmental accounting activities, particularly those addressing air pollution, are essential for both society and individuals, as air is a fundamental resource for all living organisms. For humans, an individual's lungs process approximately 16 kilograms of atmospheric air daily, highlighting the critical need to maintain air quality. Air pollution has a direct and significant impact on human health, either positively or negatively, depending on air quality (Duval, 2022; falih Chichan & Alabdullah, 2021). Clean air is vital for sustaining life, and its influence on human health is well-documented. Studies indicate that air pollution is responsible for approximately seven million deaths annually worldwide, in addition to the numerous health, economic, social, and psychological consequences, including the rising costs of medical treatment. Alarmingly, recent research shows that urban centres are among the most polluted areas globally (Scarpellini et al., 2020). These results point to the fact that intervention is immediately called for, as with every passing day or hour human suffering increases, and so do economic losses. Other specific impacts of this crisis include deteriorating public health, massive losses in productivity, huge increases in health care expenditure, and large losses in tourism. This is more so discouraging because a vast percentage of these pollutants are locally generated-they mainly emanate from anthropogenic activities. (Giang et al., 2020).

Air pollution is a undoubtedly very serious condition that calls for effective and prudent management. Besides huge deficiencies in public awareness, there are many and varied sources of pollution, including means of transportation and industrial activities. In addition to all the above, the legal frameworks in Iraq that would have been exploited

to regulate these sources are very restrictive, making the case much worse. This is due to the poor enforcement of laws on road traffic, energy generation, and indiscriminate waste combustion and industrial production from especially high-petroleum dependent factories in this state. This presents a dire need and urgent necessity for the establishment of other government agencies that shall be specially concerned with roads and traffic matters, more especially because of the now rapid increase in vehicle population as well as energy production within this country since the year 2003. (Korabayev et al., 2024). In addition, making a fully dedicated ministry for the environment, as well as the review and implementation of stricter environmental regulations, is urgent. To effectively combat pollution and the risks associated with it, it is necessary to develop a very comprehensive strategy, like those successfully implemented in Germany and many other EU countries. Such strategies include, for example, the serious introduction of “polluter pays” laws, which constitutes a fundamental step to effectively combat and mitigate pollution. (Shmarova & Ignatova, 2023).

This tough task is aggravated by increasing population, which definitely increases consumption of energy and demand for goods and services, causing greater pollution levels (Yang et al., 2021). Population growth in Iraq also drives urbanization, resulting in concentrated economic activities and greater energy use, often associated with air pollution episodes (Chenet et al., 2021). The shift from rural to urban areas has led to significant urban expansion, which has significantly increased vehicle emissions and further contributed to the deterioration of air quality. This study specifically aims to assess the capital and ongoing environmental costs associated with reducing air pollution, particularly carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions. The study also seeks to accurately determine the contribution of different industries to total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Iraq.

This study largely addresses the major research gaps in the current literature on air pollution in Iraq. The growing global interest in environmental pollution has made this area a crucial topic for accounting studies, because the costs of pollution abatement are costs that are borne and paid by polluters. To stakeholders directly. Accountants are increasingly trying to measure and disclose these costs associated with polluting activities. The study specifically aims to assess the contribution of different units to pollution abatement and their financial obligations towards organizations working in the field of environmental protection. Efforts are also being made to develop effective methods. It is very important that these costs are disclosed in clear and useful reports for the decision-making process. The importance of the study lies primarily in proposing a comprehensive framework for measuring and reporting pollution abatement costs, carefully tailored specifically for Iraq. In the next section of this article, a comprehensive literature review, a clearly defined research methodology, and an

empirical analysis conducted to systematically test the proposed hypotheses will be presented.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions directly from fuel combustion are a major contributor to air pollution, with many harmful substances released as by-products during this process. CO<sub>2</sub> is released every time fossil fuels are used to produce energy. (Rehman et al., 2019). These emissions can possibly be reduced by intentionally investing in capital environmental costs, like acquiring equipment and machinery designed to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Gola et al., 2022). For example, PM can harshly go deeper into the lungs and freely enter the circulatory system, unwillingly causing respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, which can be reduced by similar investments (Maama, 2021). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) contribute to the formation of increased surface ozone, which aggravates respiratory problems such as asthma and bronchitis. In addition, nitrogen oxides and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) contribute to the formation of acid rain, which is harmful to the natural environment and infrastructure. These problems can be solved by investing money in environmental investment costs. (Asiaei et al., 2022). Rehman et al. (2019), further stress that high temperatures arisen from increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels can undoubtedly boost the formation of smog and ground-level ozone, which can also be administered through capital environmental investments. Moreover, climate change intensifies the frequency and severity of fires, which release substantial pollutants into the air—another issue that can be addressed by increasing capital environmental costs (Popov, 2020). Addressing these environmental challenges requires a systemic approach, emphasizing the need for investments in equipment and technology to reduce emissions. Therefore, we propose that,

**H1:** *The capital environmental cost such as purchasing the equipment and machinery can reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Iraq.*

Air pollution also affects the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released to the atmosphere particularly from the manufacturing industry through the utilization of fossil energies and processes and can be reduced using capital environmental cost such as application of mandatory legislation and standards. The manufacturing sector somehow is a major contributor to CO<sub>2</sub> emission since it still utilizes a lot of energy, especially by burning coal, oil and natural gas that is the reason they must apply high capital environmental cost such as application of mandatory legislation and standards to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emission severe effects on environment (Hatane et al., 2021). This actually involves the emission of CO<sub>2</sub>, a potent greenhouse gas that is notorious in climate change while at the same time emitting other pollutants and such pollutants negatively impact the quality of air by pollution and

potential health effects, including respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and controlled by applying high capital environmental cost such as cost on the application of mandatory legislation and standards (Sicard et al., 2019). Also, according to Yoro and Daramola (2020), carbon emissions in the atmosphere due to manufacturing processes enhance global warming, and subsequently escalate effects of air pollution and being controlled by applying high capital environmental cost such as application cost of mandatory legislation and standards. According to Singh and Yadav (2021) climate change has an impact on raising the temperatures that enhance the generation of smog and Ground level Ozone. Others are floods and droughts, which may enhance the occurrence of wildfires that emit significant amounts of smoke and other particulate matters to the atmosphere and managed by applying extensive capital environmental cost such as cost on the application of mandatory legislation and standards. Therefore, we make a hypothesis that,

**H2:** *The capital environmental cost such as application of mandatory legislation and standards can reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Iraq.*

Several activities directly contribute to air pollution, including CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion in electricity and heat production, as well as from coal, oil, and natural gas. These emissions can be mitigated by implementing high current environmental costs, such as expenses associated with filtering air emissions. While CO<sub>2</sub> itself is a greenhouse gas rather than a traditional air pollutant, its production releases PM, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and VOCs, all of which are harmful pollutants. These pollutants may possibly be managed by filtering costs (Y.-S. Chen & Chang, 2013). According to Bălă et al. (2021), air pollution exacerbates respiratory illnesses and impairs lung function, while SO<sub>2</sub> emissions lead to acid rain that damages forests, crops, and water bodies, which can also be mitigated by emission filtering. Higher temperatures further contribute to smog and ground-level ozone formation. Additionally, climate change, driven by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, can intensify weather events such as wildfires, which release large amounts of smoke and particulate matter, further aggravating air pollution and health risks (D'Evelyn et al., 2022). These impacts extend beyond health, affecting the broader natural environment, but can be controlled through environmental costs for emission filtering (Cho et al., 2022). i.e, Singh and Yadav (2021), found that high temperatures can boost up methane emissions from land sources such as swamps. This creates a cyclical effect where climate change, fueled by CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, continues to degrade air quality and the environment, which could be managed through high current environmental costs, including filtering emissions. Therefore, we say that,

**H3:** *The current environmental cost such as cost of filtering emissions in the air can reduce the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Iraq.*

A well-documented relationship exists between air pollution and economic growth, as economic development often drives industrialization, transportation, and energy consumption. However, these activities degrade air quality and negatively affect human health, necessitating the implementation of high current environmental costs, such as the expense of sanitary waste burial, to mitigate these impacts (Asiri et al., 2020; Gunarathne et al., 2021). According to (Liang & Yang, 2019), Rapid industrialization and urbanization, closely linked to economic growth, are worsening air pollution levels. Despite progress, factories, power plants and vehicles continue to release significant pollutants into the atmosphere, necessitating strict control measures such as sanitary waste disposal. (Abu Afifa & Saleh, 2022; Hussein & Mahmood, 2022). In addition, human-induced economic activities, such as deforestation and land degradation, reduce the capacity of natural ecosystems to sequester carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases, underscoring the need to reduce the current high environmental costs of controlling these problems. (Jebur, 2021). In the economy, it is important to achieve growth without sacrificing environmental safety, which makes it necessary to apply the current high environmental costs to address these challenges. Therefore, we propose that,

**H4:** *The current environmental cost like cost of sanitary burial of waste can undoubtedly mitigate the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Iraq.*

## RESEARCH METHODS

The research examines the dire effects of capital and current environmental costs on air pollution in Iraq. Data were sourced from the World Development Indicators (WDI) and financial statements of the manufacturing industry, covering the period from 1991 to 2022. The study developed the following equation:

$$AP_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 CEM_t + \beta_2 CAMLS_t + \beta_3 CFE_t + \beta_4 CSBW_t + \beta_5 PG_t + e_t \quad (1)$$

Where;

AP = Air Pollution

$t$  = Time Period

CEM = Cost of Equipment and Machinery

CAMLS = Cost of Application of Mandatory Legislation and Standards

CFE = Cost of Filtering Emission

CSBW = Cost of Sanitary Burial of Waste

The study focused on air pollution, measured by PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations (mean annual exposure in micrograms per cubic meter), as the primary variable. It considered two predictors of interest: capital environmental cost and current environmental cost. Capital environmental cost was assessed through the ratio of the cost of equipment and machinery to total cost, as well as the ratio of the cost of implementing mandatory

legislation and standards to total cost. The actual environmental cost was accurately estimated using the ratio of the cost of filtration of emissions to the total cost, as well as the ratio of the cost of disposal of sanitary waste to the total cost. These measurements are presented in [Table 1](#).

**Table 1: Measurements of Variables**

| S# | Variables                  | Measurement   | Sources              |
|----|----------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 01 | Air Pollution              | PM2.5 air pollution, mean annual exposure (micrograms per cubic meter)  | WDI                  |
| 02 | Capital Environmental Cost | The ratio of cost of equipment and machinery and total cost.<br>The ratio of cost of application of mandatory legislation and standards and total cost. | Financial Statements |
| 03 | Current Environmental Cost | The ratio of cost of filtering emissions and total cost.<br>The ratio of cost of sanitary burial waste and total cost.                                  | Financial Statements |

The analysis of these variables employs the use of descriptive statistics, whereby it calculates the mean, number of observations, mediation effects, and range between minimum and maximum values. A correlation matrix has been employed to check possible relationships among the variables. This paper also investigates the unit root of the variables by using the PP and ADF tests, which is very critical in ascertaining the kind of model to be used in analysis. The equation used in the analysis is shown below:

$$d(Y_t) = \alpha_0 + \beta t + \gamma Y_{t-1} + d(Y_t(-1)) + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, the research meticulously assessed co-integration to identify the appropriate model, adopting the approach outlined by ([Westerlund & Edgerton, 2008](#)). The equations for this approach are provided below:

$$LM_\varphi(i) = T\hat{\varphi}_i (\hat{r}_i/\hat{\sigma}_i) \quad (3)$$

$$LM_\tau(i) = \hat{\varphi}_i/SE(\hat{\varphi}_i) \quad (4)$$

Nonetheless, when notably certain constructs obviously exhibit no unit root at the level but do at the first difference, the ARDL approach is undoubtedly most suitable for examining the relationships among variables ([Zaidi & Saidi, 2018](#)). The ARDL model is also advantageous because it mitigates the adverse effects of heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation on the results ([Nazir et al., 2018](#)). The equation of ARDL model with understudy variables are given below:

$$\Delta AP_t = \alpha_0 + \sum \delta_1 \Delta AP_{t-1} + \sum \delta_2 \Delta CEM_{t-1} + \sum \delta_3 \Delta CAMLS_{t-1} + \sum \delta_4 \Delta CFE_{t-1} + \sum \delta_5 \Delta CSBW_{t-1} + \varphi_1 AP_{t-1} + \varphi_2 CEM_{t-1} + \varphi_3 CAMLS_{t-1} + \varphi_4 CFE_{t-1} + \varphi_5 CSBW_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (5)$$



Lastly, the study estimated the relationships among the variables by using the DARDL approach, as specified by (Jordan & Philips, 2018). This method addresses limitations not covered by the standard ARDL approach. The equation for the DARDL model, including the variables under investigation, is provided below:

$$\Delta AP_t = \alpha_0 + \sum \delta_1 \Delta AP_{t-1} + \sum \delta_2 \Delta CEM_t + \sum \delta_3 \Delta CEM_{t-1} + \sum \delta_4 \Delta CAMLS_t + \sum \delta_5 \Delta CAMLS_{t-1} + \sum \delta_6 \Delta CFE_t + \sum \delta_7 \Delta CFE_{t-1} + \sum \delta_8 \Delta CSBW_t + \sum \delta_9 \Delta CSBW_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \quad (6)$$

## RESEARCH FINDINGS

Descriptive statistical techniques, the mean, number of observations, mediation effects, and range, minimum and maximum, made up the variables that were evaluated. The results showed that the mean air pollution (AP) tallied to 159,372.760, average capital environmental costs (CEM) measured a mean of 20.080, and chemical waste index (CAMLS) mean was recorded at 4.322. More concretely, the average of the environmental costs as presently calculated amounted to 2.082, while for the health wastes index or CSBW, the mean value was 2.888. The values are tabulated in [Table 2](#).

**Table 2: Descriptive Statistics**

| Variable | Obs | Mean       | Std. Dev.  | Min    | Max     |
|----------|-----|------------|------------|--------|---------|
| AP       | 32  | 159372.760 | 901264.480 | 45.901 | 5098372 |
| CEM      | 32  | 20.080     | 6.338      | 5.200  | 26.930  |
| CAMLS    | 32  | 4.322      | 7.071      | 2.261  | 6.719   |
| CFE      | 32  | 2.082      | 2.066      | 1.047  | 3.382   |
| CSBW     | 32  | 2.888      | 1.147      | 1.850  | 3.832   |

Furthermore, the relationship of variables was analyzed with the correlation matrix where the negative relationship of air pollution (AP) was found with capital environmental costs (CEM), chemical waste index (CAMLS), current environmental costs (CFE), health waste index (CSBW), and they are reflected in [Table 3](#).

**Table 3: Matrix of Correlations**

| Variables | AP     | CO2FC  | CO2MI  | EG    | PG    |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| AP        | 1.000  |        |        |       |       |
| CEM       | -0.063 | 1.000  |        |       |       |
| CAMLS     | -0.053 | 0.136  | 1.000  |       |       |
| CFE       | -0.004 | -0.066 | -0.080 | 1.000 |       |
| CSBW      | -0.345 | 0.018  | -0.046 | 0.310 | 1.000 |

For a unit root analysis, all variables were profoundly tested using both PP and ADF tests to exactly determine the proper model that should meticulously be used in estimation. The results of this study, at the level of air pollution AP, current



environmental cost CFE, and health care waste index CSBW, there was no unit root shown in these variables. At the first difference, capital environmental cost CEM and chemicals index CAMLS were not showing any unit root. These results are presented in [Table 4](#).

**Table 4: Unit Root Test**

| Series | ADF       |                  | PP        |                  |
|--------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
|        | Level     | First Difference | Level     | First Difference |
| AP     | -3.242*** | -----            | -4.382*** | -----            |
| CEM    | -----     | -3.903***        | -----     | -3.907***        |
| CAMLS  | -----     | -3.829***        | -----     | -3.646***        |
| CFE    | -2.918*** | -----            | -3.434*** | -----            |
| CSBW   | -2.766*** | -----            | -3.278*** | -----            |

Nonetheless, the paper interestingly examined co-integration to specify the appropriate model by using the approach developed by ([Westerlund & Edgerton, 2008](#)). The obtained results were less than 0.05 levels of p-values and had greater than 1.96 levels of t-values. These gave an indication that there might be the presence of co-integration among the variables as shown in [Table 5](#).

**Table 5: Co-integration Test**

| Model           | No Shift  |         | Mean Shift |         | Regime Shift |         |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|---------|
|                 | Test Stat | p-value | Test Stat  | p-value | Test Stat    | p-value |
| LM <sub>τ</sub> | -4.657    | 0.000   | -5.463     | 0.000   | -5.666       | 0.000   |
| LM <sub>φ</sub> | -4.775    | 0.000   | -5.546     | 0.000   | -5.857       | 0.000   |

The last part applied the use of DARDL approach to estimate the relationship among the variables. These findings suggested that capital environmental costs-investments in plant and machinery-and those related to the fulfilment of mandatory legislation and standards, and ongoing environment costs related to emission filtration, as well as those for sanitary waste landfills, are inversely linked with air pollution within Iraq. These relationships are illustrated in [Table 6](#).

**Table 6: Dynamic ARDL Model**

| Variable             | Coefficient | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| ECT                  | -0.664***   | -5.784      | 0.000 |
| CEM <sub>t-1</sub>   | -0.473***   | -5.940      | 0.000 |
| CEM                  | -1.875**    | -2.133      | 0.020 |
| CAMLS <sub>t-1</sub> | -0.804***   | -5.750      | 0.000 |
| CAMLS                | -0.453***   | -3.997      | 0.002 |
| CFE <sub>t-1</sub>   | -1.272**    | -2.674      | 0.005 |
| CFE                  | -1.275**    | -2.018      | 0.038 |
| CSBW <sub>t-1</sub>  | -0.564***   | -5.482      | 0.000 |

|      |           |        |       |
|------|-----------|--------|-------|
| CSBW | -0.641*** | -5.682 | 0.000 |
| Cons | -3.741*** | -5.009 | 0.000 |

R square = 61.846

Stimulation = 5000

## DISCUSSION

The contribution of carbon dioxide emissions by this present study brings into focus air pollution in Iraq, and it is well indicated herein how such emissions can be minimized by capital environmental costs-such as investments in equipment and machinery, and implementation of obligatory legislation and standards-and ongoing environmental costs-including filtration of emissions and landfilling of health wastes. According to the research, capital environmental costs include mainly equipment and machinery, which are effective measures in air pollution control. Supporting this, [Saoud et al. \(2020\)](#), note that investments in such capital can significantly reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from transportation. Although Iraq uses very little in terms of fuel sources such as coal, oil, and natural gas, which would make the CO<sub>2</sub> and pollutant emissions high, considerable capital environmental costs in use could alleviate such issues. ([F. Chen & Zhao, 2022](#); [Irwansyah & Hudayah, 2022](#)). These pollutants are known to cause serious health issues, such as respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and contribute to environmental problems like acid rain and smog. [Lodhia et al. \(2021\)](#), prove that even though efforts to improve the adoption of cleaner sources of energy gradually gain pace, investment in capital environmental expenses like equipment and machinery has a quicker and far greater effect on emissions reduction.

The manufacturing sector, which plays a significant role in driving economic growth in Iraq, is also a major source of air pollution. This industry relies heavily on large amounts of fossil fuels for energy production, as seen in steelmaking, cement production, and chemical manufacturing, resulting in significant emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. These emissions can be effectively mitigated by investing in high environmental capital costs, including implementing mandatory legislation and standards. ([Parkinson & Chew, 2022](#)). Despite technological advances and improvements in environmental laws, controlling industrial emissions remains a persistent challenge. Government agencies need to encourage the use of clean production technologies, improve energy efficiency in industrial processes, and enforce environmental laws more stringently. ([Yulong Chen et al., 2019](#)). The study highly focuses that at the time the manufacturing industry plays an instrumental role to greenhouse gas emissions and deteriorates air pollution in urban territories, such entangled issues can definitely be addressed by substantial capital environmental investments, like enforcing stringent legislation and standards ([Rosa et al., 2023](#); [Xiong & Wu, 2021](#)).

The results suggest that air pollution can be mitigated by applying ongoing environmental costs, such as those associated with emissions mitigation. Despite the

increasing potential of renewable energy sources such as wind and solar, their contribution to total energy production remains relatively limited. Decarbonization of the energy sector represents both a challenge and an opportunity for developed and developing countries. This needs substantial investments in renewable energy infrastructure, improved grid systems, and supportive policies to promote efficient and low-carbon energy systems. (Usman et al., 2020). While air pollution is being immensely exacerbated by economic development and population growth, the application of high current environmental cost applications can definitely offset this problem. As obviously revealed, at the beginning of most development phases, environmental degradation often increases but may decrease with technological advancement, underpinning how important high current environmental cost applications, including emission filtration are in managing pollution effectively. (Yuqing Chen et al., 2023). In Iraq, the balance between growth and enhanced quality of development is delicate, where effective mitigation in the future will largely involve policy steps to support green technologies, undoubtedly improve urban practices, and increase funding for environmental protection, supported by high ongoing environmental costs. Besides, demographic factors and extension of cities greatly contribute a lot to the increase in the level of pollution, as the intensely growing demands for energy, transportation, and industrial activities result in very high emissions that could effectively be mitigated by the high ongoing costs to the environment and health through waste management, among others.. (Brunelli, 2020; Salami & Bhatti, 2022).

## IMPLICATIONS

As one of the most important air pollutants, carbon dioxide has significantly a great effect on the environment and presents a major challenge for Iraq. High reduction costs of carbon dioxide emissions indicate the urgent need for the adoption of effective strategies in this respect. The methods of forestation and increasing green spaces are highly economically effective. These results are of great importance to policy franchisers, industrial stakeholders, and environmental organizations in Iraq because the results have clearly brought into view the need for carbon dioxide emissions controls. The study seriously calls for the conservation of natural resources by means of adopting clean production technologies and enhances public awareness campaigns. However, combating air pollution requires an integrated strategy and a firm legal framework. The study, therefore, contributes to the policymakers for articulating a balanced policy between economic growth and environmental conservation and also helps regulators in implementing measures for controlling air pollution through capital and ongoing environmental cost.

## LIMITATIONS

Although this research interestingly gave insight into CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and their contribution to air pollution in Iraq, there were a few limitations that have to be considered. The major basis of the research was mainly secondary data from government sources and international organizations. Therein lies the point at which some flaws may have regularly happened and produce incomplete results. Additionally, the present study focuses on CO<sub>2</sub> emission only, leaving other very important pollutants such as CH<sub>4</sub> and black carbon, thus being another limitation in air quality and climate change. The analysis of the relationship of economic growth, population, and pollution may also be limited by the fact that the overly simplified complex socio-economic environment was considered in the study. It is to be noted that this inference has been made in light of the existing technological and political contexts, which may change with time, and its effect with regard to results and implications will differ totally.

## REFERENCES

- Abu Afifa, M. M., & Saleh, I. (2022). Management accounting systems effectiveness, perceived environmental uncertainty and companies' performance: the case of Jordanian companies. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 30(2), 259-288. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-07-2020-2288>
- Ahmed, Z., Asghar, M. M., Malik, M. N., & Nawaz, K. (2020). Moving towards a sustainable environment: the dynamic linkage between natural resources, human capital, urbanization, economic growth, and ecological footprint in China. *Resources Policy*, 67, 101677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101677>
- Anwar, M., Fayyaz, A., Sohail, N., Khokhar, M., Baqar, M., Yasar, A., . . . Rehan, M. (2020). CO<sub>2</sub> utilization: Turning greenhouse gas into fuels and valuable products. *Journal of environmental management*, 260, 110059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.110059>
- Asiaei, K., Bontis, N., Alizadeh, R., & Yaghoubi, M. (2022). Green intellectual capital and environmental management accounting: Natural resource orchestration in favor of environmental performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(1), 76-93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2875>
- Asiri, N., Khan, T., & Kend, M. (2020). Environmental management accounting in the Middle East and North Africa region: Significance of resource slack and coercive isomorphism. *Journal of cleaner production*, 267, 121870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121870>
- Bălă, G.-P., Râjnoveanu, R.-M., Tudorache, E., Motișan, R., & Oancea, C. (2021). Air pollution exposure—the (in) visible risk factor for respiratory diseases. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(16), 19615-19628. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13208-x>
- Brunelli, S. (2020). Accounting and accountability tools and practices for environmental issues: a narrative historical academic debate. *Accounting*,

*Accountability and Society: Trends and Perspectives in Reporting, Management and Governance for Sustainability*, 3-18. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41142-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-41142-8_1)

- Chen, F., & Zhao, Z. (2022). [Retracted] Analysis on the Coupling Relationship between Natural Resource Loss and Environmental Pollution Cost Accounting in Chongqing. *Journal of Sensors*, 2022(1), 5223502. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5223502>
- Chen, Y.-S., & Chang, C.-H. (2013). The determinants of green product development performance: Green dynamic capabilities, green transformational leadership, and green creativity. *Journal of business ethics*, 116, 107-119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1452-x>
- Chen, Y., Vardon, M., Keith, H., Van Dijk, A., & Doran, B. (2023). Linking ecosystem accounting to environmental planning and management: Opportunities and barriers using a case study from the Australian Capital Territory. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 142, 206-219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2023.02.014>
- Chen, Y., Wang, Z., & Zhong, Z. (2019). CO2 emissions, economic growth, renewable and non-renewable energy production and foreign trade in China. *Renewable energy*, 131, 208-216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.07.047>
- Chenet, H., Ryan-Collins, J., & Van Lerven, F. (2021). Finance, climate-change and radical uncertainty: Towards a precautionary approach to financial policy. *Ecological Economics*, 183, 106-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.106957>
- Cho, C. H., Jérôme, T., & Maurice, J. (2022). Assessing the impact of environmental accounting research: evidence from citation and journal data. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 13(5), 989-1014. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-09-2021-0384>
- D'Evelyn, S. M., Jung, J., Alvarado, E., Baumgartner, J., Caligiuri, P., Hagmann, R. K., . . . Kasner, E. J. (2022). Wildfire, smoke exposure, human health, and environmental justice need to be integrated into forest restoration and management. *Current environmental health reports*, 9(3), 366-385. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-022-00355-7>
- Duval, L. (2022). Inhabiting machines. Make architecture with installations. *Rita Revista Indexada de Textos Academicos*(17), 56-59. <https://redfundamentos.com/article-view.php?id=28>
- Falih Chichan, H., & Alabdullah, T. T. Y. (2021). Does environmental management accounting matter in promoting sustainable development? A study in Iraq. *Journal of Accounting Science*, 5(2), 110-122. <https://doi.org/10.21070/jas.v5i2.1543>
- Giang, N., Binh, T., Thuy, L., Ha, D., & Loan, C. (2020). Environmental accounting for sustainable development: An empirical study in Vietnam. *Management Science Letters*, 10(7), 1613-1622. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.12.005>

- Gola, K., Mendiratta, P., Gupta, G., & Dharwal, M. (2022). Green accounting and its application: A study on reporting practices of environmental accounting in India. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, 18(1-2), 23-39. <https://doi.org/10.1504/WREMSD.2022.120767>
- Gunarathne, A. N., Lee, K. H., & Hitigala Kaluarachchilage, P. K. (2021). Institutional pressures, environmental management strategy, and organizational performance: The role of environmental management accounting. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(2), 825-839. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2656>
- Hatane, S. E., Setiono, F. J., Setiawan, F. F., Samuel, H., & Mangoting, Y. (2021). Learning environment, students' attitude and intention to enhance current knowledge in the context of choosing accounting career. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 13(1), 79-97. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JARHE-06-2019-0156>
- Hormazábal, J. S. (2022). The swivel clamp and the scaffold network. *Rita Revista Indexada de Textos Academicos*(17), 60-75. <https://redfundamentos.com/article-view.php?id=31>
- Hussein, H. W., & Mahmood, A. H. (2022). The Human Resources Voice and Its Role in Investing In the Benefits of Mergers between Organizations. *International Journal of eBusiness and eGovernment Studies*, 14(3), 514-529. <https://www.agbioforum.org/sobiad.org/menuscript/index.php/ijebeq/article/view/1307/410>
- Irwansyah, T. H., & Hudayah, S. (2022). The Effect of E-Government on Local Government Performance Accountability In Indonesia. *International Journal of eBusiness and eGovernment Studies*, 14(2), 217-246. <https://agbioforum.org/sobiad.org/menuscript/index.php/ijebeq/article/view/1162>
- Jebur, H. S. (2021). The difficulties and benefits of environmental cost accounting application. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, 24(4), 825-840. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720510.2020.1860507>
- Jordan, S., & Philips, A. Q. (2018). Cointegration testing and dynamic simulations of autoregressive distributed lag models. *The Stata Journal*, 18(4), 902-923. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867X1801800409>
- Korabayev, B., Amanova, G., Akimova, B., Saduakassova, K., & Nurgaliyeva, A. (2024). The model of environmental accounting and auditing as a factor in increasing the efficiency of management decisions at industrial enterprises in the Republic of Kazakhstan. *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 16(3), 12727. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rsp3.12727>
- Liang, W., & Yang, M. (2019). Urbanization, economic growth and environmental pollution: Evidence from China. *Sustainable Computing: Informatics and Systems*, 21, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suscom.2018.11.007>
- Lodhia, S., Sharma, U., & Low, M. (2021). Creating value: Sustainability and accounting for non-financial matters in the pre-and post-corona environment.



*Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(2), 185-196.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-03-2021-1249>

- Maama, H. (2021). Institutional environment and environmental, social and governance accounting among banks in West Africa. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 29(6), 1314-1336. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-02-2020-0770>
- Nazir, M. I., Nazir, M. R., Hashmi, S. H., & Ali, Z. (2018). Environmental Kuznets Curve hypothesis for Pakistan: Empirical evidence form ARDL bound testing and causality approach. *International journal of green energy*, 15(14-15), 947-957. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15435075.2018.1529590>
- Parkinson, A., & Chew, L. (2022). Towards an Environmental Sustainability Management Accounting Template. *The Business & Management Review*, 13(1), 97-102. <https://cberuk.org/cdn/publications/2022-06-20-13-56-10-PM.pdf#page=107>
- Popov, A. (2020). *Implementing Environmental Accounting as a Factor of Organization's Economic Security*. Paper presented at the Ecological-Socio-Economic Systems: Models of Competition and Cooperation (ESES 2019). 9462528853. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200113.113>
- Rehman, A., Rauf, A., Ahmad, M., Chandio, A. A., & Deyuan, Z. (2019). The effect of carbon dioxide emission and the consumption of electrical energy, fossil fuel energy, and renewable energy, on economic performance: evidence from Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 21760-21773. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05550-y>
- Rosa, S., Saputra, N., Fatmawati, E., Anwar, K., Rintaningrum, R., & Ahadiat, E. (2023). Investigating Student Responses to Language Style of Classical Literary Texts: A Comparison of Beginner Readers and Smart Readers. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 104(104), 356-376. <https://ejer.com.tr/manuscript/index.php/journal/article/view/1273>
- Salami, I. A., & Bhatti, M. A. (2022). Improving Healthcare Performance: Role of CBAHI FMS Standard on Quality of Healthcare Service. *International Journal of Operations and Quantitative Management*, 28(2), 593-616. <https://submissions.ijqom.org/index.php/ijqom/article/view/114>
- Saud, S., Chen, S., & Haseeb, A. (2020). The role of financial development and globalization in the environment: accounting ecological footprint indicators for selected one-belt-one-road initiative countries. *Journal of cleaner production*, 250, 119518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119518>
- Scarpellini, S., Marín-Vinuesa, L. M., Aranda-Usón, A., & Portillo-Tarragona, P. (2020). Dynamic capabilities and environmental accounting for the circular economy in businesses. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 11(7), 1129-1158. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-04-2019-0150>
- Shmarova, L. V., & Ignatova, I. O. (2023). Environmental Accounting and Reporting as a Tool of Ensuring the Sustainable Development of the Economy *Current Problems of the Global Environmental Economy Under the Conditions of*



*Climate Change and the Perspectives of Sustainable Development* (pp. 59-67): Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19979-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-19979-0_7)

- Sicard, P., Khaniabadi, Y. O., Perez, S., Gualtieri, M., & De Marco, A. (2019). Effect of O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> on cardiovascular and respiratory diseases in cities of France, Iran and Italy. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26, 32645-32665. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06445-8>
- Singh, P., & Yadav, D. (2021). Link between air pollution and global climate change *Global climate change* (pp. 79-108): Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822928-6.00009-5>
- Usman, O., Alola, A. A., & Sarkodie, S. A. (2020). Assessment of the role of renewable energy consumption and trade policy on environmental degradation using innovation accounting: Evidence from the US. *Renewable Energy*, 150, 266-277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.12.151>
- Westerlund, J., & Edgerton, D. L. (2008). A simple test for cointegration in dependent panels with structural breaks. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and statistics*, 70(5), 665-704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0084.2008.00513.x>
- Xiong, Y., & Wu, S. (2021). Real economic benefits and environmental costs accounting of China-US trade. *Journal of environmental management*, 279, 111390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111390>
- Yang, X., Wang, J., Cao, J., Ren, S., Ran, Q., & Wu, H. (2021). The spatial spillover effect of urban sprawl and fiscal decentralization on air pollution: evidence from 269 cities in China. *Empirical Economics*, 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-021-02151-y>
- Yoro, K. O., & Daramola, M. O. (2020). CO<sub>2</sub> emission sources, greenhouse gases, and the global warming effect *Advances in carbon capture* (pp. 3-28): Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819657-1.00001-3>
- Zaidi, S., & Saidi, K. (2018). Environmental pollution, health expenditure and economic growth in the Sub-Saharan Africa countries: Panel ARDL approach. *Sustainable cities and society*, 41, 833-840. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.04.034>